

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

SHANNON MEDICAL CENTER 3255 WEST PIONEER PARKWAY ARLINGTON TX 76013

Respondent Name Carrier's Austin Representative Box

City of Fort Worth Box Number 04

MFDR Tracking Number MFDR Date Received

M4-13-2286-01 May 8, 2013

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Regardless of billed charges for the OR service, payments are still allowed at 200% of the fee schedule in effect for the services per rule 134.403 section E, which we have attached for your review!"

Amount in Dispute: \$22,061.62

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "The Requester should not be reimbursed for an implant that it received at no charge from the medical device provider."

Response Submitted by: City of Fort Worth

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 8, 2012	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$22,061.62	\$22,009.41

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, titled *Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.
- 4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 356 THIS OUTPATIENT ALLOWANCE WAS BASED ON THE MEDICARE'S METHODOLOGY, (PART B) PLUS THE TEXAS MARKUP.

- 618 THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS PACKAGED INTO THE PAYMENT OF OTHER SERVICES PERFORMED ON THE SAME DATE OF SERVICE.
- W1 WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
- 193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. No documentation was found to support that separate reimbursement for implantable was requested; therefore MAR shall be established by determining the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment multiplied by 200 percent.
- 2. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code 85014 has a status indicator of A, which denotes services paid under a fee schedule or
 payment system other than OPPS. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403(h), for outpatient services
 for which Medicare reimburses using fee schedules other than OPPS, reimbursement is made using the
 applicable Division fee guideline in effect for that service on the date the service was provided. Facility
 payment for the technical component of this service is calculated according to the Medical Fee Guideline for
 Professional Services, §134.203(e)(1). The fee listed for this code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule is
 \$3.35. 125% of this amount is \$4.19
 - Procedure code 85018 has a status indicator of A, which denotes services paid under a fee schedule or
 payment system other than OPPS. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403(h), for outpatient services
 for which Medicare reimburses using fee schedules other than OPPS, reimbursement is made using the
 applicable Division fee guideline in effect for that service on the date the service was provided. Facility
 payment for the technical component of this service is calculated according to the Medical Fee Guideline for
 Professional Services, §134.203(e)(1). The fee listed for this code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule is
 \$3.35. 125% of this amount is \$4.19
 - Procedure code 88300 has a status indicator of X, which denotes ancillary services paid under OPPS with separate APC payment. These services are classified under APC 0342, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$11.17. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$6.70. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.8367 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$5.61. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$4.47. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$10.08. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,900. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$10.08. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$20.16.
 - Procedure code 63685 has a status indicator of S, which denotes a significant procedure, not subject to multiple-procedure discounting, paid under OPPS with separate APC payment. These services are classified under APC 0039, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$15,176.65. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$9,105.99. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.8367 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$7,618.98. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$6,070.66. The sum of the labor and non-labor related

amounts is \$13,689.64. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,900. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$13,689.64. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$27,379.28.

- Per Medicare policy, procedure code 95970 may not be reported with procedure code 63685 billed on the same claim. Payment for this service is included in the payment for the primary procedure. Separate payment for the services billed may be justified if a modifier is used appropriately. The requestor billed the disputed service with a modifier; however, review of the submitted medical documentation finds that modifier 59 is not supported. Separate payment is not recommended.
- Procedure code J0690 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
- Procedure code J2250 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
- Procedure code J2795 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
- Procedure code J3010 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
- Procedure code J7030 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
- The Division notes that the carrier's contention that "The Requester should not be reimbursed for an implant that it received at no charge from the medical device provider" relates to an item that is not separately payable under OPPS. Additionally, the requestor in this case did NOT request separate reimbursement for implantables. For these reasons, the carrier's position that the requestor should not be reimbursed is immaterial as no reimbursement would have been recommended pursuant to §134.403(f)(1).
- 3. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$27,407.82. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$5,398.41 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$22,009.41. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$22,009.41.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$22,009.41, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

		September 11, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date
		September 11, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received

by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.